STATE OF MAINE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2000-572

November 21, 2000

CENTRAL MAINE POWER COMPANY
Request for Approval of a Standard Form
Contracts for Optional Targeted Service

ORDER APPROVING STANDARD FORM CONTRACTS

Rate: General Service: Economic

Development Rider (Maine-Made Incentive)

SUMMARY OF DECISION

By this Order, the Commission approves Central Maine Power Company's (CMP) revisions to its Economic Development Rider, Maine-Made Incentive (MMI), Standard Form contracts. The Commission also establishes that no separate filing or approval is required for customized standard form contracts entered into pursuant to this optional targeted rate.

DISCUSSION AND DECISION

On July 3, 2000, CMP filed proposed revisions to its MMI rate schedules and revised Standard Form contracts to reflect the changes in the MMI program. The proposed rate schedules went into effect automatically on August 2, 2000 pursuant to Revised Attachment F of CMP's ARP. However, no action was taken with respect to the revised Standard Form contracts. On November 2, 2000, CMP filed a letter requesting approval of the Standard Form contracts.

In its Order dated August 1, 1995 in Docket Nos. 95-600 and 95-610, the Commission determined that when contracts vary from an approved standard form only by inclusion of customer specific information, the Commission is not required to review and approve the individual contracts. The Commission established monthly reporting requirements in lieu of such individual review and approval. Prior to the instant changes, CMP used this process for its MMI contracts. Based on the Company's November 2 letter and conversations with the Company, it is our understanding that it seeks to continue this treatment. We have reviewed the attached Standard Form contracts and find them to be reasonable. We will, therefore, approve them and not require individual review and approval of contracts that vary from this form only by inclusion of customer specific information.

¹Approved by Commission Order dated July 13, 1999 in Docket No. 99-155.

Accordingly, we

ORDER

- 1. That the Standard Form contracts filed by the Company in this proceeding on August 2, 2000 are hereby approved.
- 2. That contracts in conformance with these Standard Form contracts, do not need individual approval but will be included in the Monthly Informational Filing for Standard Form Contracts provided to the Commission.

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 21st day of November, 2000.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Dennis L. Keschl
Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR: Welch

Nugent Diamond

NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:

- 1. <u>Reconsideration</u> of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
- 2. <u>Appeal of a final decision</u> of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 30 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 73, et seq.
- 3. <u>Additional court review</u> of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.